BUSINESS MEETING

BEFORE THE

CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION

AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

HEARING ROOM A

1516 NINTH STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2001
10:00 A.M.

Reported by: Valorie Phillips Contract No. 150-01-006

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

William Keese, Chairman

Michal Moore

Robert Pernell

STAFF PRESENT

Steve Larson

Bill Chamberlain

Jeff Ogata

Jon Leber

PUBLIC ADVISER

Priscilla Ross

ALSO PRESENT

Stephen K. Gardner, Attorney Charles H. Pomeroy IV, Attorney (teleconference) McKenna and Cuneo representing City of Chula Vista

I N D E X

Pag	је				
Proceedings	1				
Items					
1 West Group	1				
2 Sunrise Power Project (moved to 9/12/01)	3				
3 Residential Manual	3				
4 Residential Building Energy Efficiency Standards (moved to 9/19/01)	5				
5 AB-970 Energy Trends Report (withdrawn)	5				
6 Chula Vista Peaker Project	5				
7 Energy Commission Committee and Oversight 1	15				
8 Chief Counsel's Report	15				
9 Executive Director's Report	16				
10 Public Adviser's Report	16				
11 Public Comment	17				
Adjournment 17					
Certificate of Reporter	18				

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	10:00 a.m.
3	CHAIRMAN KEESE: I call this meeting of
4	the California Energy Commission to order.
5	Commissioner Pernell, would you lead us in the
6	Pledge, please.
7	(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was
8	recited in unison.)
9	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. We have a
10	short Commission meeting today. Commissioner
11	Rosenfeld and Commissioner Laurie are out on
12	business.
13	Item 1, West Group. Possible approval
14	of contract 140-01-002 for \$18,563 for a
15	continuing subscription to the Westlaw Legal
16	Research Service.
17	Do we have a motion?
18	COMMISSIONER MOORE: Mr. Chairman, I'm
19	prepared to move and get it on the floor, and then
20	I have a short question for Mr. Ogata.
21	COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Okay. Motion,
23	Commissioner Moore; second, Commissioner Pernell.
2 4	COMMISSIONER MOORE: My question, Mr.
25	Ogata, is that this contract allows us to get this

1 electronically, as well, so it's the same as

- 2 having a Nexus/Lexis search engine? So we get
- 3 hard copy, as well as access electronically?
- 4 MR. OGATA: Well, Commissioner Moore,
- 5 this is computerized research, so we will be able
- 6 to download information that we get from
- 7 computerized research. I'm not sure what you mean
- 8 by hard copy. I mean we do have a library, so we
- 9 have books, but this is in addition to that.
- 10 COMMISSIONER MOORE: But I'm linking
- 11 this to the library, itself. In other words, when
- they update the blue books that are on the shelf,
- 13 the West books, they have an addendum that
- 14 typically goes in a pocket in the back.
- 15 Does this literally update in parallel
- 16 with that, so that electronically when you did a
- 17 search you get the most current version that would
- be in a hard copy, as well?
- 19 MR. OGATA: That's correct. Typically,
- 20 since this is computerized research this will be
- 21 even more up to date than the written stuff which
- we receive, which tends to be a little bit behind.
- 23 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Good, thank you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. Any further
- 25 comments?

1	All in favor?
2	(Ayes.)
3	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Opposed? Adopted three
4	to nothing. Thank you.
5	Item 2, Sunrise Power Project, has been
6	moved to the agenda for the 12th.
7	Item 3, Residential Manual.
8	Consideration and possible approval of the
9	residential energy conservation manual for the
10	2001 building energy efficiency standards.
11	COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Mr. Chairman.
12	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Commissioner Pernell.
13	COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Just briefly,
14	this item was recommended to you today by the
15	Energy Efficiency Committee. We're bringing this
16	for your consideration for compliance with the '01
17	energy efficiency standards for residential
18	buildings.
19	As you know, this is required by the
20	Public Resources Code and it provides examples,
21	explanations and technical information to have
22	building communities comply with the '01 energy
23	efficiency standards.
24	And with that I'll turn it over to

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

Mr. -- I forget.

1	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Leber.
2	MR. LEBER: This is Jon Leber,
3	Commission Staff.
4	COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Mr. Leber.
5	MR. LEBER: This manual was sent out to
6	the active and interested participants, many of
7	whom who commented during the proceeding for
8	adopting the standards earlier in the year.
9	(Off-the-record discussion.)
10	MR. LEBER: We received a number of
11	comments from the participants. They were very
12	helpful in, you know, pointing out little pieces
13	and parts here that could be improved in the
14	manual. Sometimes improvement that we actually
15	went beyond what was in AB-970 sort of thing to
16	just make it more readable.
17	We certainly appreciate all the input
18	that we got in that people were donating their
19	labor, in a sense, to help us out. And certifying
20	this manual meets the requirements of section
21	25402.1(c) of the Public Resources Code. And
22	certainly we recommend that you approve it.
23	If you have any questions, be happy to
24	answer them.

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

25 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Mr. Chairman, I

1 have no questions. I would be happy to move this

- 2 item.
- 3 CHAIRMAN KEESE: I reviewed. Good work.
- 4 All right, motion, Commissioner Pernell.
- 5 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Second.
- 6 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Second, Commissioner
- 7 Moore.
- 8 All in favor?
- 9 (Ayes.)
- 10 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Opposed? Adopted three
- 11 to nothing. Thank you, very good work, good
- 12 document.
- 13 Item 4, residential building energy
- 14 efficiency standards has been moved to the agenda
- for the 19th of this month.
- 16 Item 5, this has been withdrawn from the
- 17 agenda. And we will have another date for a
- meeting, but we're not setting it at this time.
- 19 Item 6, Chula Vista Peaker Project.
- 20 Consideration and possible issuance of an order
- 21 declaring the Chula Vista Generating Station
- 22 Peaker Project certification forfeited due to
- 23 noncompliance with the conditions of certification
- requiring the project to be operational by
- 25 September 30, 2001. This item is based upon the

order to show cause issued to RAMCO, Inc., th	sued to RAMCO, Inc., the	issue	cause	show	to	order	1
---	--------------------------	-------	-------	------	----	-------	---

- 2 project developer, by the Energy Commission at the
- 3 August 22, 2001 business meeting.
- 4 Good morning. I will mention at this
- 5 moment that Mr. Charles Pomeroy is on the phone
- and wishes to speak on this issue.
- 7 Mr. Ogata.
- 8 MR. OGATA: Thank you, Commissioner.
- 9 This is Jeff Ogata, Staff Counsel.
- 10 As you recall at the August 22nd
- 11 business meeting this Commission adopted an order
- 12 to show cause to RAMCO, asking them to provide a
- statement to the Commission as to why they should
- 14 not forfeit the certification granted to the Chula
- 15 Vista Peaker Generating Station.
- 16 And this order to show cause was based
- 17 upon a complaint that was also issued by the
- 18 Commission on July 26th in response to a petition
- 19 from the City of Chula Vista.
- 20 And the order to show cause was also
- 21 based upon the final decision in this matter which
- 22 stated that if the Energy Commission finds that
- the project owner failed to proceed with due
- 24 diligence to have the Chula Vista Peaker
- 25 Generating Station project in operation by

```
1 September 30, 2001, the applicant shall forfeit
```

- 2 its certification.
- 3 The City of Chula Vista also filed a
- 4 declaration from Mr. Bradford Remp, who is the
- Chief Building Official for the City of Chula
- 6 Vista. And in that declaration Mr. Remp declared
- 7 that as of August 13th there was no construction
- 8 of the proposed project on the site. And based
- 9 upon his training, education and experience his
- 10 opinion was that the project would not be
- operational on or before September 30, 2001.
- 12 We have not received any communication
- from RAMCO regarding this. And so based upon
- 14 that, staff would recommend that the Commission
- 15 adopt the finding that RAMCO has not proceeded
- 16 with due diligence and order that certification
- for the RAMCO Chula Vista Peaker Generating
- 18 Station is forfeited.
- 19 Mr. Stephen Gardner is here with the
- 20 lawfirm of McKenna and Cuneo, representing the
- 21 City of Chula Vista, and he has some additional
- 22 information for you.
- 23 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Mr. Gardner.
- MR. GARDNER: Good morning, Mr.
- 25 Commissioners. I'm Stephen Gardner with McKenna

```
1 and Cuneo, and I represent the City of Chula
2 Vista.
```

- Mr. Ogata suggested that I update one
 piece of information for the Commission's
 consideration. The City of Chula Vista filed with
 the Commission on August 31st a supplemental
 declaration of Bradford Remp. Apparently that may
 not have worked its way through the docket office.

 And so I just wanted to mention for the
- And so I just wanted to mention for the record that Mr. Remp supplemented his declaration by visiting the site, the RAMCO Chula Vista

 Peaking site on August 31st, observed that there is no construction activity whatsoever taking place on the site.

15 And then reiterated his earlier expert
16 opinion that within a 30-day period of
17 construction that you could not reasonably
18 construct a peaking unit by September 30th.

So that supplemental declaration has
been filed with the Commission, but I wanted to
place that on the record.

22

23

24

25

I also wanted to add on behalf of the
City of Chula Vista, the City very much
appreciates the Commission's consideration of this
matter, and commends the Commission for its hard

1 work and diligence in response to the California

- 2 energy crisis.
- 3 Thank you.
- 4 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. Mr.
- 5 Pomeroy, are you on the phone?
- 6 MR. POMEROY: My only comment -- I am
- 7 also with McKenna and Cuneo, representing the City
- 8 of Chula Vista. I was attending this meeting in
- 9 the event that there were certain questions raised
- 10 by the Commission. But I endorse the
- 11 recommendation by staff.
- 12 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. Why don't
- you hold on for a second.
- Do we have a motion?
- 15 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Mr. Chamberlain has
- 16 a point I think he'd like to make, Mr. Chairman.
- 17 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Mr. Chamberlain.
- 18 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. It
- 19 might be appropriate, given that the City of Chula
- 20 Vista has filed a petition with the Court of
- 21 Appeal, seeking judicial review of the
- 22 Commission's decision.
- I think it would be appropriate to ask
- 24 if the Commission does issue this decision as we
- anticipate, withdrawing the certification, if the

-1	α!			- 7		+ 1 +	the second second second
J		ιτу	$W \perp \perp \perp$	aıso	withdraw	tnat	petition.

- 2 CHAIRMAN KEESE: I think that's a
- 3 beautiful question, I'm glad I thought of it.
- 4 (Laughter.)
- 5 MR. GARDNER: The City has already
- 6 notified the Court of Appeal of the procedure in
- 7 this case, and asked the Court of Appeal not to
- 8 make any rulings pending the Commission's
- 9 decision.
- 10 It would also be our intent to withdraw
- 11 the petition as soon as the Commission hopefully
- issues its order, which the City does endorse.
- Thank you.
- 14 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. Thank you,
- 15 Mr. Chamberlain.
- 16 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Mr. Chairman, I'm
- 17 prepared to move the Commission order reference to
- docket 01-EP-03, and indicate that we find that
- 19 RAMCO has not proceeded with due diligence and
- 20 order that the certification for the RAMCO Chula
- 21 Vista Peaker Generating Station is forfeit.
- 22 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Motion by Commissioner
- Moore.
- 24 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Second.
- 25 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Second by Commissioner

```
1
         Pernell. Any further comment?
 2
                   All in favor?
 3
                   (Ayes.)
                   CHAIRMAN KEESE: Opposed? Adopted three
         to nothing. Thank you.
                   COMMISSIONER MOORE: Mr. Chairman, I
         have a question for Mr. Ogata, following that; and
         perhaps Mr. Chamberlain, as well.
 9
                   It seems to me that it is an extremely
10
         odd situation where we don't even have counsel
         responding on behalf of something that arguably
11
12
         would cost hundreds of thousands, if not millions
13
         of dollars. There is a tremendous amount of
14
         investment, at least indicated by the procedure to
15
         go through and file for one of these.
                   Does it strike you that we have a very
16
         odd incident here? And I wonder if that portends
17
         anything about the quality or the qualifications
18
         of the people that make some of these
19
20
         applications. And is there a need to be more
21
         diligent about finding out who some of these
22
         companies are?
                   MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Well, I think this
23
24
         obviously was a situation that was born out of the
```

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

25

very unusual circumstances of the crisis that we

```
1 found ourselves in earlier this year.
```

And the Governor's executive order

obviously put a premium on moving quickly. This

particular project, I think, found itself caught.

It was anticipating getting a contract with the

Department of Water Resources that would provide

for the economic viability of the project. And

apparently whatever contract it was anticipating

was not forthcoming.

It came to a point where the Department was starting to pull back and realizing that it had enough power, and didn't need to take on more liabilities. And so this project found itself without the contractual support that it had anticipated. And I think just made the decision to pull the plug on the whole thing.

COMMISSIONER MOORE: Well, then the first part of my question is still on the table.

And that is, isn't it a bit odd that we would have Mr. Ogata trying to get in contact with what I presume is legal counsel for this firm, and getting no response? Doesn't that seem odd?

MR. OGATA: Well, Commissioner Moore, we didn't try to make contact with legal counsel. We did attempt to make contact with the company,

```
1 itself, the president of the company.
```

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

I didn't personally do that. Another

member of staff attempted that. Made, from what I

understand, two phone calls; did not receive any

calls back. The mail that we sent has not been

returned. We sent it to the last known address of

this company, and it has not been returned. So we

have to assume that the mail is getting through.

So, I agree with you, it does seem a bit odd that we haven't been able to make any contact to ascertain, you know, any information from RAMCO.

13 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Mr. Chairman, if

14 you will, I have a question that is brought on by

15 that that I'd like to direct to Mr. Chamberlain,

16 if I may.

And that is concerning the comment that you just made on the Governor's executive order.

It seems to me that in the case of any executive order, or any emergency order, is the possibility in law or in statute or regulation that the emergency will be officially passed at some point.

And I'd like to know how the regulations

23 And I'd like to know how the regulations 24 that we're, or the state, is bound under deal with 25 an emergency that is perhaps not an emergency any

```
1 more, and what the length of time, the lifespan of
```

- 2 an executive order, based on an emergency, really
- 3 is.
- 4 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Commissioner Moore,
- 5 I'm going to have to apologize. You warned me
- 6 yesterday that you'd be asking this question, and
- 7 I --
- 8 COMMISSIONER MOORE: This is my slow
- 9 pitch softball, and I'm --
- 10 (Laughter.)
- 11 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: I come here
- 12 unprepared, I'm sorry. I did not have time
- 13 yesterday. I had nonstop meetings. Could I bring
- 14 you an answer to that next week?
- 15 COMMISSIONER MOORE: I would appreciate
- 16 it. I'd like to understand what the limits are.
- 17 Certainly I made some comments about this last
- 18 week when we were going through the second of the
- 19 cases where -- that concerned it.
- 20 And it seems to me we ought to have a
- good understanding of what the legal bounds are on
- 22 this, if not the ethical bounds. Those are a
- different issue, but we ought to have a handle on
- 24 what the law proscribes and what limits there are
- 25 inherent in it.

```
1 So, if you wouldn't mind coming back to
```

- 2 us at the next meeting. We're four at the next
- 3 meeting.
- 4 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: That's one week from
- 5 now, yes.
- 6 COMMISSIONER MOORE: The 12th, that may
- 7 not be the meeting you come back with it on.
- 8 Well, perhaps a memo.
- 9 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: Perhaps a memo,
- 10 we could --
- 11 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Let's get a memo as
- 12 soon as possible.
- MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Okay.
- 14 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: -- would work.
- 15 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Not a rush, but --
- 16 COMMISSIONER PERNELL: I would be
- interested in that, as well.
- 18 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you.
- 19 Committee Oversight? We do not have a
- legislative report. Any other committees?
- 21 Chief Counsel, anything else?
- MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. As
- the Commission may recall, during the late 1980s
- 24 and early 1990s, the Commission licensed a number
- of solar facilities known a the Luz Facilities.

1	And because of the disruption of a lot
2	of habitat land, part of the conditions of
3	certification of that were that approximately 3000
4	to 4000 acres of land be of desert tortoise
5	habitat land be dedicated.
6	The actual transfer of the land to the
7	Department of Fish and Game was about 98 percent
8	complete when the Luz Development Company went
9	bankrupt.
10	And for the last 12 years or so that
11	proceeding has been inching along. And I'm very
12	pleased to tell you today that last week that
13	proceeding was completed and the State of
14	California did receive the land from the
15	bankruptcy estate. And so that matter has been
16	settled.
17	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. I suppose
18	the byline on this story in the press could be it
19	moved at the pace of a desert tortoise.
20	(Laughter.)
21	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Mr. Larson.
22	MR. LARSON: No reports today.
23	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Public Adviser's
24	report.
25	MS. ROSS: No report, sir, thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN KEESE: We have no report. Any
2	public comment?
3	Seeing none, this meeting is adjourned.
4	Thank you, everybody.
5	(Whereupon, at 10:25 a.m., the business
6	meeting was concluded.)
7	000
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, VALORIE PHILLIPS, an Electronic

Reporter, do hereby certify that I am a

disinterested person herein; that I recorded the

foregoing California Energy Commission Business

Meeting; that it was thereafter transcribed into

typewriting.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting, nor in any way interested in outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 10th day of September, 2001.

VALORIE PHILLIPS